

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3674		
09/695,028 10/24/2000		Jason Michael Benz	BUR9-2000-0047-US1			
21254	7590 11/21/2002					
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
			ALANKO, ANITA KAREN			
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1765	11		
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	1.1		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applican		Applicant(s)	ant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/695,02	3	BENZ, JASON MICHAEL				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Anita K Ala		1765				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 C</u>	October 200	<u>2</u> .					
2a)⊠ Th	is action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Cla	im(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application							
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∏ Cla	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Cla	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.							
7) <u></u> Cla								
8)⊡ Cla	im(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	quirement.					
Application Papers								
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>08 October 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)⊡ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of [	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	· <u> </u>	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				
S. Patent and Tradema		tion Summar	v	Part of	Paper No. 11			

Application/Control Number: 09/695,028

Paper No. 11 Art Unit: 1765

### Drawings

Figures 1A-3B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The terms "wherein said reflective material is isolated from an etching process" in claim 1, last line, "wherein said correlation material is isolated from an etching process" in claim 12, last line, and "wherein said opaque material is isolated from an etching process" in claim 23, last line, are new matter. The specification does not describe isolating the reflective material from an etching process; Fig. 5A and Fig6A show that is etched.

#### Response to Arguments

The 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph is withdrawn. The prior art rejections over Nishioka are withdrawn.

As to the drawings, applicants argue that Fig.1A-3B are "Related Art" and not "Prior Art". Examiner disagrees. The specification on page 2, lines 17-18 recites:

Application/Control Number: 09/695,028

Paper No. 11 Art Unit: 1765

Figures 1A-3B illustrate the conventional etching process and the laser reflectance signal for the conventional dry etching of a chrome on glass etch.

The brief description of the drawings on page 6, lines 8-9 also recites the conventional process.

A conventional process is a prior art process.

The proposed drawing amendment is acceptable to overcome the objection to the reference numeral additions to the figures.

As to the arguments about Nishioka, examiner acknowledges that Nishioka does not disclose isolating the reflective material from the etching process, therefore Nishioka does not read on the claims as amended. However, the new limitation is new matter as described above.

Examiner notes col.5, lines 46-47 of Nishioka that recites "second reflected light  $L_{RA}$  has no relation to etching progress." This part of Nishioka reads on the gist of the instant invention. The resist layer 3 of Nishioka is not immune from the etch process and is also etched to some degree. Nishioka also "correlates" as broadly cited in the claims.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Paper No. 11 Art Unit: 1765

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita K Alanko whose telephone number is 703-305-7708. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday,8:30 am-1:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on 703-308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9057 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Anita K. Alambo

Anita K Alanko Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765

AKA November 19, 2002